



ANNUAL REPORT
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS PER DECEMBER 31, 2025

Aescap Genetics Fund**Contents**

General Information	2
Overview (Key figures) Aescap Genetics	3
Profile & Structure	4
Management Report	11
Control Statement	14
Remuneration policy 2025	15
Risk management and willingness to take risks	16
Financial statements	
Balance Sheet as per December 31, 2025.....	18
Profit & Loss Account for the year January 01, 2025 – December 31, 2025.....	19
Statement of Cash Flows for the year January 01, 2025 – December 31, 2025.....	20
Notes	21
Notes to the Balance Sheet.....	24
Notes to the Profit & Loss Account.....	26
Other Information	29
Independent auditor's reports	30
Annex I: Annex IV disclosure SFDR	36

Aescap Genetics Fund

General Information

Business Address of the Fund

Aescap Genetics
Gustav Mahlerplein 3,
26th floor, Financial Offices
1082 MS Amsterdam
The Netherlands
www.aescap.com
E-mail : fundmanagement@priviumfund.com

Fund Manager

Privium Fund Management B.V.
Gustav Mahlerplein 3,
26th floor, Financial Offices
1082 MS Amsterdam
The Netherlands
E-mail : fundmanagement@priviumfund.com

Legal Owner

Stichting Aescap Genetics
Hoogoorddreef 15
1101 BA AMSTERDAM
The Netherlands

Administrator

IQ EQ Financial Services B.V.
Hoogoorddreef 15
1101 BA AMSTERDAM
The Netherlands

Independent auditor

Forvis Mazars Accountants N.V.
Delflandlaan 1
1062 EA Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Custodian

Saxo Bank A/S
15 Philip Heymans Alle
2900 Hellerup
Denmark

Depositary

Apex Depositary Services B.V.
Van Heuven Goedhartlaan 935A
1181 LD Amstelveen
The Netherlands
E-mail : info@apexdepositary.com

Legal Counsel

Warendorf
Koningslaan 42
1075 AE Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Regulatory Counsel

Finnius
Jollemanhof 20A
1019 CW Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Aescap Genetics Fund**Overview (Key figures) Aescap Genetics**

	31-12-25	31-12-24
Number of Outstanding Units		
AESCAP GENETICS Manager	18,066.2825	18,241.2356
AESCAP GENETICS Investors	16,696.0169	16,149.0833

	31-12-25	31-12-24
Net Asset Value per Unit (€)		
AESCAP GENETICS Manager	1,157.8901	921.5388
AESCAP GENETICS Investors	1,062.0233	874.6793

Total Net Asset Value (x € 1,000) **38,650,328** 30,935,275

The abovementioned Investor Unit Classes have equal conditions, the only differences being applied are the fees.

	31-12-25	31-12-24
Return on Investment per Unit (%)		
Aescap Genetics Manager	25.65%	(9.08%)
Aescap Genetics Investors	21.42%	(11.14%)

Ongoing Cost Figure (OCF) – previously Total Expense Ratio (TER)

OCF (Performance Fee excluded (%))	2.06%	2.25%
OCF (Performance Fee included (%))	4.81%	2.98%
OCF (excluding management fee and performance fee (%))	0.62%	0.75%

The OCF figures are valid for the Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class, whereas the fund management shares do not have management fee charged. OCF figures are calculated for the reporting period.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure

Start of the Fund

Aescap Genetics ("the Fund") started activities as per January 19, 2022. The Fund has been established for the first period of time.

Legal Form

The Fund is a fund for joint account (in Dutch: een fonds voor gemene rekening). It does not have a legal personality. It is formed by and comprises a contractual arrangement governed by the terms of the Prospectus between the Fund Manager, the Legal Owner and each Unit Holder. Under this arrangement the Fund Manager is mandated to invest the contributions of the Unit Holders of the Fund for their collective risk and account in securities, which are held by the Legal Owner on behalf of the Unit Holders, in accordance with the Prospectus for the purpose of enabling the Unit Holders to share in the revenue from the investments in securities. The Unit Holders are proportionally matched with the number of Units owned, and they are jointly entitled to the Fund Assets. The contractual agreement between the Fund Manager, the Legal Owner and each of the Unit Holders does not constitute a partnership or limited partnership and does not create any other agreement between the Unit Holders. The obligation of a Unit Holder to pay the subscription price for a Unit is only an obligation towards the Fund represented by the Fund Manager and the Legal Owner. The Units only constitute rights and obligations of the Unit Holders with respect to the Fund Manager and the Legal Owner and not to other Unit Holders.

Limited Transferability

A Unit Holder cannot transfer its Units. For the avoidance of doubt, in case a Unit Holder holds Units for a beneficial owner pursuant to a custody relationship between such Unit Holder and the beneficial owner, a change of custodian by such beneficial owner shall be subject to a prior approval of the Fund Manager, but shall not be deemed a transfer for the purpose of the transfer restrictions under this Prospectus. Units may not be pledged or otherwise encumbered.

Open End

Except in certain exceptional circumstances, the Fund is obliged to issue or to redeem Units on a Transaction Day at their Net Asset Value, minus the anti-dilution levy.

Not listed

The Fund is not listed on any stock exchange.

Net Asset Value

The Net Asset Value is calculated on a weekly and at the end of each month basis by the Administrator, as is described in Section 14 of the Prospectus ("Determination of Net Asset Value").

Minimum subscription amount

The minimum investment amount for participation is € 100,000 for Aescap Genetics Manager Class. The minimum investment amount for participation is € 500,000 for Aescap Genetics Investors Class. The minimum investment amount for participation is € 10,000,000 for Aescap Genetics Investors 10M+. The minimum investment amount for participation is € 20,000,000 for Aescap Genetics Investors Class 20M+ and the minimum investment amount for participation is € 30,000,000 for Aescap Genetics Investors Class 30M+. The Fund Manager can accept a lower minimum subscription amount for Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class. This minimum can be lowered to EUR 10,000. Clients of wealth managers, family offices or private banks who have an executed discretionary portfolio management agreement or investment advisory services agreement with the wealth manager, family office or private bank, are accepted when the investment decision to invest in the Aescap Genetics is taken or advised by the wealth manager, family office or private bank. This will only be possible for Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class. Additionally, for family members (being defined as first and second degree relatives) of existing Unit Holders, subscription amounts below EUR 100,000 can be accepted as well. Here the subscription will be linked to the size of the current investment of the existing Unit Holder. Existing Unit Holders can make applications for further Units in amounts of EUR 10,000 or more. At the time of inception of the Fund Units with a Net Asset Value of € 1,000 have been issued as part of the Initial Classes.

Request for issue or redemption

Requests for the issue or redemption of Units may be made to the Administrator by means of the form provided for this purpose on the Website. The Administrator will inform the Fund Manager. The Fund Manager is not obliged to honor a request for an issue or redemption of Units. (See Section 15, "Subscription", and Section 16, "Redemption" of the Prospectus)

Tax Position of Fund

The Fund qualifies as a transparent or "closed" fund for joint account for Dutch tax purposes, since Units can only be transferred to the Fund itself and Units can only be redeemed by the Fund. Consequently, the Fund is not subject to Dutch corporate income tax. From a Dutch tax perspective, the returns on the investments received by the Fund directly influence the tax position of the Unit Holder. More details are available in Chapter 18 of the Prospectus.

Distribution policy

The dividends and interest received by the Fund, as well as possible capital gains, will not be distributed but will be re-invested, unless distribution would be deemed appropriate by the Fund Manager in connection with the regulatory status of the Fund Manager. Any distribution will take place on a pro rata basis. Any distribution (including profit distributions) to the Unit Holders, including the amount, composition and manner of payment, shall be published on the Website.

Regulatory considerations

License

The Fund Manager is in possession of an AFM license as referred to in article 2:65(a) FSA, and as a consequence (and subject to compliance with the other requirements applicable pursuant to the FSA) may offer the Fund to professional and non-professional investors within the Netherlands.

The AFM license of the Fund Manager has been issued prior to the implementation of the AIFM Directive in the Netherlands and was automatically converted into an AIFM Directive license by the AFM on 22 July 2014, in accordance with the Netherlands AIFM Directive implementation schedule.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Supervision by AFM and DNB

The Fund Manager operates under the supervision of the AFM and DNB as foreseen in the applicable provisions of the FSA. For the sake of an adequate functioning of the financial markets and the position of investors, investment funds have to comply with demands with regard to professionalism and reliability of its managers, financial safeguards, (operational) management and the providing of information to Unit Holders, the public and the supervisors.

For "regulatory considerations" we refer to section 22 of the Prospectus.

Investment Proposition

The Market Opportunity

Aescap Genetics ('the Fund') invests in publicly listed genetics biotech / life sciences companies. It invests in highly innovative companies that develop and market new genetics medical treatments such as gene, RNA and cell therapies. It can to a limited extent also invest in companies that develop and market medical genetics diagnostics. The life sciences market is a large and fast-growing market where breakthrough innovations can be exploited in a global and profitable manner. The substantial growth of the life sciences market is driven by:

- a) A longer life expectancy (especially in the emerging markets) as well as an ageing population which are driving demand for improved and cost-effective medicine, diagnostics and medical devices;
- b) A high unmet medical need for diseases such as Alzheimer, Arthritis, Diabetes, MS, Obesity, Oncology, Parkinson and many other such as infectious diseases;
- c) Approximately 6000 rare diseases with no treatment available at all today.

The rapidly growing healthcare costs, almost everywhere in the world, are increasing the demand for biotech / life sciences innovations. A good example of such an approach is the concept of precision medicine. The concept of precision medicine is based on the fact that people respond differently to the same treatment. Based on gene profiling and biomarker data a patient can be given the right treatment from the start, instead of following a trial and error approach as still often is the case in the treatment of cancer. The development of precision medicine has only just started.

The sector the Fund is active and is known for its attractive premiums in case of takeovers. The large cash buffers of the bigger pharma / biotech companies create the potential for many future acquisitions of smaller biotech companies.

The number of publicly listed biotech / life sciences genetics companies the Fund can select from is over 125 in the EU and the US together.

In the context of the EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), the Fund has been classified as an Article 8 fund. The investments of the Fund do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Investment Policy

The Fund aims to gain value by investing in publicly traded shares of genetics biotech / life sciences companies. It invests in highly innovative companies that develop and market new genetics medical treatments such as gene, RNA and cell therapies. It can to a limited extent also invest in companies that develop and market medical genetics diagnostics. The Fund may also invest in warrants of such companies. Often these warrants are received as part of an equity issue by a company. It will typically invest in companies with the potential to (more than) double their share price over a period of maximum 4-5 years. The Fund aims to make investments in companies located globally, provided that most investments are likely to be made in companies located in Europe and Northern America given the innovation power in biotech in these markets.

The Fund has a focused portfolio, investing in approximately 18 companies. Within this focus it will ensure that the portfolio is diversified over different diseases, development phases and geographical areas.

Investment Objective

The Fund's objective is to make an average minimum annual net return (after deduction of costs) of 20%+ over the mid-term (4-5 years).

Investment Discipline and Criteria

The Fund aims to select those publicly listed genetics biotech / life sciences companies which are undervalued based on their growth potential. The value creation in which it aims to invest is typically based on:

- a significant potential growth in revenues/profit;
- the achievement of clinical study milestones; and/or
- the closing of a partnership or similar deal with a larger biotech / life sciences company in the field, one that most likely already has a sales force active in the disease area the licensing deal is representing.

The companies the Fund will invest in are typically acquisition targets for biopharmaceutical multinationals, which are known for their constant hunger to fill their pipelines.

Investment decisions are based on fundamental company analyses of the company's technology and/or products, product development risks, market entry barriers, competition, financials and the expected market development. But maybe even more important by making serious efforts to understand the strengths and weaknesses of management and their view on the future of the company and the markets they serve.

The Fund may, if the Fund Manager has a good reason to do so, hold a substantial percentage of the Fund in cash. The Fund can make limited use of borrowed money. A maximum of 10% of the Net Asset Value can be borrowed money:

- to bridge temporary liquidity shortages;
- to make use of one or more investment opportunities after new subscription orders have come into the Fund from Unit Holders.

For the most important investment criteria we refer to section 4 of the Prospectus.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Risk control

The portfolio of companies of the Fund is expected to consist of a limited number of approximately 18 companies. It is this 'cherry picking' from a basket of over 125 publicly listed companies in the genetics biotech / life sciences sector which should drive the outperformance of the different biotech indexes / trackers. In order to reduce the risks of investing in this sector the Fund will diversify its portfolio over several disease areas, different phases of product development as well as geographical areas.

Risk Profile

Investing in biotech companies involves a high degree of risk and prices of the securities of such companies, especially of companies with a small market cap, may be volatile. Furthermore, there is concentration risk because of the genetics biotech focus and a relatively small portfolio of approximately 18 companies. Investments are also often made in a foreign currency like the US dollar and foreign currency exposures are not hedged back to the Euro, the base currency of the Fund. Please see Section 5 for an overview of all risk factors in relation to (an investment in) the Fund.

For investment criteria and investment restrictions as well as the Fund characteristics and investor profile more in detail we refer to section 4 of the Prospectus.

Most important risks and uncertainties

A Unit Holder cannot lose more than the amount invested in the Fund by that Unit Holder.

The most important risks, which must be considered that are common with an investment fund of this nature, involved in investing in the Fund include among others :

Volatility risk

There are financial risks involved with investing in Units of the Fund. Unit Holders have to realize that the stock market value of underlying investments of the Fund may significantly fluctuate, especially in the biotech / life sciences market where governmental regulations and/or technology risks can have a significant impact on a company's value. As a result of fluctuations of the biotech / life sciences stock markets, the Net Asset Value of the Fund may also fluctuate, which means that it is possible that Unit Holders, when redeeming, may not receive the full amount invested in the Fund.

Market risk

Markets may rise and fall and the prices of financial instruments and other assets on the financial markets can rise and fall. In general, and more specifically the prices of assets of the nature and type the Fund may invest in and hold, can rise and fall. A careful selection and spread of investments does not provide any guarantee of positive results. In the biotech / life sciences market governmental measures related to medicine approvals and pricing as well as technology risks can have a large impact on the value of one single company or the market as a whole. There may be various reasons why markets fall like recessions caused by a change in the economic business cycle or a pandemic.

Currency risk

The Fund does not hedge currency positions. Investments other than in Euros can therefore cause fluctuations. The Net Asset Value of the EUR denominated Unit Classes may therefore be affected by exchange rate fluctuations, positive as well as negative.

Risk that investments do not develop as expected

The Fund aims for an average yearly mid-term minimum net return of 20% per annum, after deduction of all costs. There is however no guarantee that this return will be achieved. Moreover, no guarantee can be given that the analyses of the Fund Manager concerning the expected development of the portfolio of companies are correct.

Risks related to the sector the Fund invests in

The sector the Fund is investing in comes with certain specific risks such as:

- Potential weakening of the patent protection environment in one or more jurisdictions;
- Financing risk, given the fact that many biotech companies are loss making and therefore are in need of further financing to develop their products;
- Major technology breakthroughs outside of the Fund's portfolio;
- Legal or regulatory developments influencing the life sciences industry and/or the investments made by the Fund;
- Changes in projections by securities analysts of a company;
- Biotech stock market fluctuations;

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Risks of a general economic and political nature

Investments made by the Fund are subject to general economic risks, for instance, reduced economic activity, rising interest rates, inflation and rising prices of commodities. The value of the Fund can also be influenced by political developments, wars and other global trends and events.

Concentration risk

Because of the limitation of investments to approximately 18 different companies, there may be stronger fluctuations in the Net Asset Value of the Fund in case one or more particular investments by the Fund would decrease in value, than it would normally be the case if the investments were more spread. As a result of the strategy of the Fund, the returns of the Fund can deviate significantly from the returns of a world equity index. As a consequence thereof, specific risks arise that are reflected in differences in performance between the Fund and the global equity indices, positively and negatively.

Systemic risk

Certain events in the world or certain activities from one or more important parties in the financial markets can lead to a disturbance in the normal functioning of the financial markets. As a result of this, substantial losses may arise, caused by liquidity and counterparty risks following from such a disturbance.

Cyber Security risk

The Unit Holders are exposed to the risk of a cyber attack or data breach at the level of the Fund Manager or at the level of the service providers. The Fund Manager and service providers have implemented measures to mitigate this risk as much as possible.

Inflation risk

There may be a risk that the purchasing power of the amount invested by the Unit Holder in the Fund decreases as a result of inflation.

Sustainability risk

Sustainability risk in the context of the Fund is defined as the risk of a decrease in the value of an investment of the Fund due to an environmental, social or governance (ESG) related event. Such an event may have a direct negative impact on the financials of the investment or a longer-term impact on the operations or earnings capacity of the investment. The Fund has identified multiple sustainability risks which may impact the value of its investments to a varying degree.

Risk of limited redemption

Units can only be transferred to the Fund (except for transfers to persons that are next of kin or direct in law of a Unit Holder). The Fund is in principle obliged to purchase Units on a weekly basis, on a Transaction Day. Under certain circumstances the Fund Manager is authorized to delay redemption or honor redemption requests only partially (see Section 16 of the Prospectus: "Redemption"). In those cases the Unit Holder is not able to redeem, or redeem only partially, its Units. This may (also) have a negative effect on the price of the Units.

Indemnification risk

The Fund Manager and the Legal Owner are entitled to be indemnified out of the Fund Assets against costs, losses and expenses which they may incur or become liable in connection with the execution of their duties. In addition, the Depositary, the Administrator and other service providers also are entitled to an indemnity under the terms of their respective agreements for the services they provide. These obligations could require substantial indemnification payments out of the Fund Assets, provided however that the Depositary shall not be so indemnified with respect to any matter resulting from its negligent or intentional failure to properly fulfil its obligations in accordance with article 21(12) of the AIFM Directive, and no other person shall be so indemnified with respect to any matter resulting from its attributable breach (toerekenbare tekortkoming in de nakoming).

Counterparty risk

The Fund will be subject to the risk of the inability or refusal of payment or clearing institutions, principals or other service providers or other counterparties to its transactions, to perform or to perform in time under such services or transactions. Any such failure, refusal or delay, whether due to insolvency, bankruptcy or other causes, could subject the Fund to substantial losses. The Fund Manager will seek to mitigate these risks by reviewing the creditworthiness and reliability of all service providers and counterparties and only entering into transactions with those parties that the Fund believes to be creditworthy and reliable.

Liquidity risk

If, due to unforeseen circumstances, normal liquidity conditions do not apply, the Fund could face liquidity risk. This could imply that financial instruments cannot be sold or bought under normal market conditions, leading to significant direct and indirect transaction costs. It may also mean that positions cannot be sold at the anticipated price as established and deemed to be the fair value at the date of deciding to liquidate/sell those positions. This may have a negative effect on the Net Asset Value of a Unit.

Settlement risk

This is the risk that settlement through a payment system does not take place as expected, because the payment or delivery of the financial instruments by a counter party does not take place, or does not take place on time, or is not as expected.

Risk of change in (fiscal) laws

This is the risk that (fiscal) legislation changes or that new legislation comes into force that negatively affects the fiscal treatment of Fund or its Unit Holders or the risk that unclear rules and regulations and conflicting advice may result in a breach of rules and regulations applicable to the Fund. Resulting fines and other sanctions and possible damage to the reputation of the Fund, the Fund Manager and other connected persons may result in a negative impact on the Net Asset Value of the Fund and the Units.

Key man risk

Patrick Krol has been assigned by the Fund Manager as Portfolio Manager of the Fund. Unit Holders are exposed to the risk that Patrick Krol ceases to be involved with the Fund Manager.

Regulatory supervision and compliance risk

The regulatory rules keep evolving and changes therein may adversely affect the functioning of the Fund and/or the Fund Manager's ability to pursue the investment policy for the Fund. Unclear rules and regulations and conflicting advice may result in a breach of rules and regulations applicable to the Fund. Resulting fines and other sanctions and possible damage to the reputation of the Fund, the Fund Manager and other connected persons may result in a negative impact on the Net Asset Value of the Fund and the Units.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Operational risk

There is a risk that the internal processes, people and systems of the Fund Manager fail, which may have a negative effect on the business continuity of the Fund Manager and its ability to pursue the investment policy.

Legal Owner

The Legal Owner of the Fund is Stichting Aescap Genetics Fund, having its office at Hoogoorddreef 15, 1101 BA Amsterdam. The Legal Owner is a foundation established under the laws of The Netherlands on 11 November 2021 in Amsterdam, which is registered in the Trade Register at the Chamber of Commerce in Amsterdam under number 84469064. The Legal Owner's only statutory purpose is to act as Legal Owner of the Fund and to protect the interests of the Unit Holders. It will have access to all reports from the Administrator.

The most important task and power of the Legal Owner is to act as legal owner of the Fund Assets and incur and/or assume the Fund Obligations on behalf and for the account and risk of the Unit Holders.

Fund Manager

The Fund is managed by Privium Fund Management B.V. (the "Fund Manager"). The Fund Manager is responsible for the entire management of the Fund in accordance with the provisions of the Fund Document (the Prospectus and any Supplements) and applicable laws. The Fund Manager is also responsible for maintaining records and furnishing or causing to be furnished all required records or other information of the Fund to the extent such records, reports and other information are not maintained or furnished by the Administrator, the Legal Owner, the Depositary or other service providers.

Privium Fund Management B.V. is a private limited liability company (besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid) incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands having its official seat (zetel) in Amsterdam, the Netherlands and its principal offices at Symphony Towers 26/F, Gustav Mahlerplein 3, 1082 MS Amsterdam, the Netherlands. The Fund Manager is registered in the Dutch trade register (handelsregister) under file number 34268930.

The Fund Manager performs its services in accordance with the Prospectus. Pursuant to the Prospectus the Fund Manager has the full and exclusive power, discretion and authority to invest and manage the assets of the Fund.

The statutory management board (bestuur) of the Fund Manager consists of Mr C.H.A. Heijman, Mr M. Baak and Mr R.J. van Hoorn who are the (daily) policy makers of the Fund Manager.

The Fund Manager holds an AIFM license issued by the AFM within the meaning of article 2:65(a) FSA and is subject to conduct of business and prudential supervision by the AFM and DNB respectively.

The most important tasks and powers of the Fund Manager are the following:

- to determine and execute the investment policy of the Fund (including, but not limited to, making investment- and divestment decisions);
- to check the administration of the Fund executed by the Administrator;
- to assess whether the Administrator determines the Net Asset Value for the Fund and for each Unit Class correctly and on time;
- to ensure that the Fund complies with the relevant regulations and reporting obligations;
- to ensure that the Fund complies with the defined risk management framework as further described briefly below;
- generally to observe the interests of the Unit Holders in accordance with the Prospectus.

For details relating to delegation of Fund Manager's duties, resignation and removal of the Fund Manager, liability of the Fund Manager, Indemnification, Insurance as well as other Funds managed by the Fund Manager we refer to section 6 of the Prospectus.

The Portfolio Manager

The portfolio of the Fund is managed by Mr. Patrick J.H. Krol (1963) who is supported by several analysts. Additionally, advisors and industry/medical experts may be used. The advisors and industry/medical experts will not provide any investment advice for which an investment advisory license is needed but may be asked to provide their knowledge on a certain disease, medicine, market segment, etc. The advisors or industry/medical experts shall not make any investment decisions either.

Patrick Johan Hendrik Krol (1963), Founder and Portfolio Manager

Patrick Krol is a biotech investment and business development specialist. Patrick joined biotech venture capital fund Aescap 1 as an Investment Partner at its start in 2005 and in 2009 became the fund's Managing Partner. In 2015 he took over the Aescap name to launch Aescap Life Sciences, a fund for joint account investing in public biotech companies based on Patrick's successful track record as a private investor in public biotech. From 1995 to 2005, Patrick guided over 35 public pharma and biotech companies to successfully launch or grow their products. As a Founder and Managing Director at consultancy company Firm United Healthcare from 1997 to 2004, Patrick was responsible for growing the company to become a market leader. During this period, he also co-founded Interactive Healthcare and the Healthcare Management School. In 2004 he sold his share in all three companies to become a biotech investment professional. Since 2004 he has gained experience on board level in the life sciences sector as the chairman of the supervisory boards of i-Optics B.V. and to-BBB technologies B.V. and as a Non-Executive Director of Aquapharm Biodiscovery Ltd, EasyScan Holding BV, Cassini Holding BV, and Shire International Licensing BV (a subsidiary of top-20 biopharma company Shire Plc), and as a supervisory director of F-star GmbH, F-Star Alpha Ltd, F-Star Beta Ltd, F-Star Gamma Ltd, F-Star Delta Ltd and Orphazyme Aps. In 2003, he became a visiting teacher of the business school of the University of Wageningen teaching 'Strategy in the pharmaceutical Industry'. He published several articles on biopharma marketing and communications strategy and has been a speaker and moderator at life sciences seminars and congresses. Patrick studied physical therapy followed by business economics and later in his career concluded an M.B.A. in Executive Management and Consultancy at LMS.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Key Person

Patrick Krol will be the Key Person of the Fund and a Key Person Event means the situation occurring when the Key Person ceases to be actively involved in the business and affairs of the Fund as a Portfolio Manager. The Fund Manager shall without delay give notice to the Investor Advisory Committee and the Unit Holders of the occurrence of a Key Persons Event. In case of a Key Person Event the Investor Advisory Committee, in consultation with the Fund Manager and the Legal Owner, will decide on how to continue with the Fund or potentially how to liquidate the portfolio of the Fund and put it to an end.

Administrator

IQ EQ Financial Services B.V. established in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, has been appointed as the administrator.

The most important tasks of the Administrator, under responsibility of the Fund Manager, are:

- conducting the financial and investment administration of the Fund;
- calculating the Net Asset Value of the Fund and for each Unit Class and Series; and
- keeping the register of Unit Holders of the Fund.

Depositary

Apex Depositary Services B.V. established in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, has been appointed as the depositary.

The most important tasks of the Depositary are:

- safekeeping of financial instruments in which the Fund has invested;
- ensuring that the Fund Manager acts in accordance with the Investment Policy; and
- monitoring of cash flows in respect of the Fund and ensuring that issue and redemption of Units and determination of the Net Asset Value is performed correctly.

The actual safekeeping of the financial instruments in which the Fund has invested is delegated to the Custodian. The depositary has assigned Saxo Bank A/S as Custodian.

Depositary agreement

The Fund Manager and Depositary have concluded an agreement (the 'Depositary Agreement') setting out the duties of the Depositary and what the Fund Manager must do to enable the Depositary to perform those duties duly.

Unit Holders

The Unit Holders are jointly economically entitled (each proportionally, taking into account the Series they form part of, according to the number of Units owned) to the net assets of the Fund. The combined assets of the Unit Holders invested in the Fund are intended for collective investment and for their own account and risk. (See Section 13 of the Prospectus, "Unit Holders").

Contractual arrangement between Unit Holders, Fund Manager and Legal Owner

The Fund being the contractual arrangement between Unit Holders, the Fund Manager and the Legal Owner is governed by the Prospectus.

Voting in meetings of shareholders of companies in which the Fund invests

The Fund Manager is supposed to have at its disposal the shares and the voting rights attached thereto that the Legal Owner holds. In principle, the Fund Manager will use the voting rights attached to the shares held by the Fund. The Fund Manager will use these voting rights in such manner that can be conducive to realizing the Fund's investment objective. There is no obligation for the Fund Manager to use its voting rights.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Profile & Structure (Continued)

Risk management

Privium Fund Management B.V. has a clear and elaborate Risk Management framework, in line with current legislation, such as the Alternative Investment Fund Manager Directive (AIFMD). The Risk Management function within Privium is performed by an independent Risk Management team. Privium has a Risk Management Committee which meets at least on a monthly basis.

The Risk Management framework consists of several individual components, whereby Risk Monitoring is being performed on an ongoing basis.

Under the AIFM Directive, the Fund Manager is required to establish and maintain a permanent risk management function. This function should have a primary role in shaping the risk policy of each Alternative Investment Fund ("AIF"), risk monitoring and risk measuring in order to ensure that the risk level complies on an ongoing basis with the AIF's risk profile.

The risk management function performs the following roles:

- Implement effective risk management policies and procedures in order to identify, measure, manage and monitor risks;
- Ensure that the risk profile of an AIF is consistent with the risk limits set for the AIF;
- Monitor compliance with risk limits; and
- Provide regular updates to senior management concerning:
 - The consistency of stated profile versus risk limits;
 - The adequacy and effectiveness of the risk management process; and the current level of risk of each AIF and any actual or foreseeable breaches of risk limits.

To identify the Risk Profile and main risks, and ensure the right measurement, management and monitoring of these risks, the Fund Manager has a rigid Risk Onboarding Process. It ensures that the Investment Process is properly documented and the Product itself is properly reviewed.

As described by the AIFM Directive quantitative risk limits are, where possible, constructed for various risk categories: market risk, liquidity risk, credit risk, counterparty risk and operational risk. These risk limits should be in agreement with the Risk Profile of the fund.

The risk management function is fully independent from Portfolio Management. The Risk Management team has full authority to close positions or the authorization to instruct the closing of positions on his behalf in case of a risk breach.

To ensure that all risk management tasks are executed correctly and timely, the Fund Manager uses an automated system (CM) that registers all risk tasks, keeps a list of all pending risk tasks, and escalates risk tasks that have not been executed or report a violation of a risk rule. The system produces an audit log that can be verified by the internal auditor, the external auditor, the management board, the regulator or other stake holders. Not all risk variables have limits but to identify any new relevant risks, every variable that is reported in the CM system flows through a sanity check. The sanity check will raise an exception if the variable falls outside its "normal" boundaries. Risk Management is notified of these exceptions and will make an assessment whether the situation is stable or whether further escalation is needed.

The positions of the fund are administered and reconciled using SS&C Eze Investment Suite and risk metrics such as value at risk, stress scenarios and portfolio liquidity are obtained through Bloomberg.

The CM system is responsible for monitoring of the pre-defined risk limits. The limits can either be configured as notification limits, soft limits or hard limits. In case of a breach of any of the limits, the escalation procedures are followed as described in the Global Risk Management Framework (Annex 17) of the Privium Handbook.

On a monthly basis the Risk Committee of the Fund Manager meets to discuss the performances and risks of the Fund. Any breaches are thoroughly discussed during these meetings. Additionally, a yearly Risk Evaluation and Product Review is conducted.

In 2016 Privium's senior management team decided to engage an external party in the annual evaluation of the internal processes. This audit primarily focusses on risk management and compliance processes. In Q4 2025 and during the first two months of 2026 this audit was performed and the findings were reported to Privium's management. The audit did not demonstrate any material deviations.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Management Report

The Aescap Genetics fund experienced a volatile start of the year in 2025, but ended the year with making a net performance of +21.4%. While the change of leadership at the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) continues to cause unexpected actions, the intrinsic company progress for most companies in the portfolio was robust reflected by the performance of their stocks.

The most prominent positive contributors to the performance of the Fund during 2025 included:

- Avidity Biosciences upon being acquired by Novartis.
- Taysa Gene Therapies upon stellar data in Rett Syndrome and the opportunity to bring it to market through an accelerated pathway.
- Ionis Pharmaceuticals upon first-in-class final clinical trial data for a multi-billion dollar opportunity, as well as pipeline progress.
- Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals upon a medicine approval and license deals.
- UniQure upon good long-term clinical trial data for Huntington disease and initial agreement with the FDA to file for accelerated approval. While later in the year these agreements were reconsidered by the FDA, the performance of the company over the year was a positive

The most prominent negative contributors to the performance of the Fund during 2025 included:

- ProQR Therapeutics for no discernable reason other than lack of news.
- Rocket Pharmaceuticals following a delay in the development of its lead asset.
- Ultragenyx Pharmaceutical following the failure of a late-stage clinical trial for an important medicine candidate.

Next generation genetics medicines

Continuous innovations occur in the genetic medicine space. Products are being optimized to cross the blood brain barrier and to target other hard-to-treat organs by for example portfolio company Krystal Biotech. Overall companies seek and develop the next generation modalities and combinations to address diseases with high unmet medical need.

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Biotech is one of the sectors with can benefit significantly from AI. The sector has been using artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) for years, but the acceleration these technologies are currently experiencing is broadening their application even further. The combination of the two technologies is transforming R&D, leading to significant efficiency gains:

- Discovery of new targets and biological disease processes creates opportunities to treat hard-to-treat or untreatable diseases.
- Accelerating the medicine development process.
- Reduction of development costs.
- Designing the best clinical trials and fasten recruitment timelines.
- Increasing the likelihood of successful development of a new medicine.
- Optimized patient finding efforts, bringing medicine and patients to each other.

Outlook for 2026

The Aescap Genetics long-term investment thesis remains strong, supported by promising innovations in gene therapy, RNA therapeutics, and cell-based treatments. Although the President of the US and the commissioner of the FDA continue to stir up the biotech market from time to time, we believe that the outlook for most medicines that reduce the overall cost of patient care remains very positive. The 2026 outlook for the Aescap Genetics fund anticipates multiple product approvals and late-stage clinical trial readouts and broader investor appreciation of the unique proposition of genetic medicine.

We would like to note that public posts from governmental figures, as mentioned above, can cause volatility similar to what we saw in 2025. However, please remember that such volatility is often not related to actual risk.

Globally there are several Geopolitical conflicts pending. The outcomes are uncertain and hard to predict. Further escalation of the individual conflicts are expected to dampen global growth. This might have an impact on the performance of the Fund.

Article 8 classification – promotion and measurement of social objective

In addition to its financial aim to gain value by investing in publicly traded shares of biopharmaceutical companies and potentially also diagnostics and/or medical device companies, the Fund promotes social characteristics. In the context of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), the Fund is therefore classified as an Article 8 fund.

The Fund promotes social characteristics but does not have as its objective making sustainable investments. The Fund aims to have a minimum proportion of 30% (measured based on invested capital) of sustainable investments that contribute to the social characteristics.

By measuring and monitoring the alignment of the portfolio and Fund activities to the focus points above, Aescap Life Sciences provides insight into how its social objective is achieved. In addition to the below, additional SFDR disclosures are available in the annex of these Financial Statements.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Management Report (continued)

Alignment with SDG 3: Good Health and Wellbeing

Developing treatments for diseases with a high unmet medical need is the focus point of the Fund. These types of diseases and conditions, for example Alzheimer, Obesity, Diabetes, MS, Oncology, Parkinson are characterized by: (inadequacy of) available treatments, severity of impact on the patient and severity of impact on the health care system.

A company qualifies to contribute on this criterion if:

For a company with product(s) on the market that has been profitable in the past 3 years:
 ≥ 50% of the products it markets are aimed at treating diseases with a high unmet medical need

For an unprofitable company with product(s) on the market or for a company without product(s) on the market:
 ≥ 50% of its pipeline programs and products on the market are aimed at treating diseases with a high unmet medical need.

If a company qualifies to the two criteria, the actual percentage of pipeline programs and/or products on the market addressing a high unmet medical need will be used in the calculation of how much of the fund AUM is contributing to this goal, proportionate to that company's weight in the portfolio.

If a company does not qualify, then it counts for 0% to the fund's contribution to the high unmet need goal.

In the above items, by product on the market, we mean a product marketed by the company or a product marketed by a partner/distributor from which the company derives any financial interest tied to sales (milestones payments, royalties, etc.)

In the above items, when we look at approved indication(s) of product(s), should there be difference between labels in different geographies/regulations, we take the indication with the broadest population approved to assess.

Alignment with SDG 5: Gender Equality

The biotechnology industry is heavily reliant on highly educated and skilled people and having the right team in place can be the difference between success and failure of a treatment. In addition, the application of treatments across countries, ethnicities and genders requires a broader research focus than the sector has historically applied. Therefore, a biotech company should employ a diverse mix of employees who all bring their specific knowledge and insights.

By reporting on the number of female-identifying board members and engaging with portfolio companies to collect this information alongside other employee engagement and diversity information, the sector is encouraged to improve its hiring practices and ultimately benefit from having diverse teams.

Alignment with SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth

The development process of medical treatments holds multiple risks such as product and research quality, safe and responsible clinical trials and strong relations with patients, employees, and other stakeholders. These form the foundation for all companies in the healthcare sector. Strong whistle blower policies and protection support a work culture of trust and high quality which leads to better and safer medical treatments as well as increased public trust in the sector.

By reporting on the number of whistle blower policies and engaging with portfolio companies to collect this information, the sector is encouraged to foster the best working conditions and the highest quality levels in their medical treatment development processes.

Results as of December 31, 2025 and comparison with previous period

Percentage of sustainable investments of the Fund's invested capital

	2025	2024	2023
Sustainable investments	35% (35% of NAV)	32% (32% of NAV)	73% (69% of NAV)
Other	65% (65% of NAV)	68% (68% of NAV)	27% (31% of NAV)

Progress on ESG best-practice engagement

As ESG has become a topic of increasing importance to the Fund and key stakeholders (investment funds, companies, etc.). In 2025, the Fund further engaged to different degrees with its portfolio companies in order to ensure that all met the new ESG standards the Fund had set itself.

A handful of companies already had a yearly ESG report publication. The data sourcing for these companies was swift as all relevant information was readily available, and if not, we directly engaged with relevant key persons from the portfolio company.




However, given that many of these genetics companies are still relatively young, the majority did not have information available. Therefore, a dialog with the company was required to assess the status quo and progress on all relevant topics. First contact was usually held directly with management team during one of the regular meetings the Fund has with its portfolio companies, or via email. If necessary, questions on relevant topics would be sent and answers would be gathered internally before feedback would be given, if necessary, by the Fund.

The fund engaged with all portfolio investments in 2025. During these discussions, ESG risks were addressed while the fund had engaged with eight companies solely on ESG topics. The extent of these discussions depended on whether the company was already reporting on sustainability and on the stage of development the company was in. Early-stage companies are often not yet sufficiently developed to report on sustainability. Most companies have been able to answer our questions. The engagement on ESG topics is leading more and more companies to keep them in consideration while conducting their

So far, no company disclosures have forced us to reconsider our investment position. If a company were to disclose such information, multiple steps would be taken before we reach this last step: (i) direct contact with company to flag the issues and discuss their intentions on resolving them (ii) continue discussions and make clear that continued breach of our ESG principles could lead to position divestment (iii) making use of our ownership % to vote relevant resolutions during shareholder meetings (iv) position divestment (after 3 years of breach and no effort undertaken to solution the issue).

Aescap Genetics Fund**Management Report (continued)**

Contribution to the Fund's social objective

SDG	Target	2025	2024
 <p>3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING</p>	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages	92% of the Fund's invested capital was invested in companies that are researching, developing or producing treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need. This represents 93% of the net asset value of the Fund.	90% of the Fund's invested capital was invested in companies that are researching, developing or producing treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need. This represents 90% of the net asset value of the Fund
 <p>5 GENDER EQUALITY</p>	Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls	0.44 Ratio of female to male identifying board members as reported by the portfolio company	0.44 Ratio of female to male identifying board members as reported by the portfolio company
 <p>8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH</p>	Increase sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work	100% Percentage of the number of portfolio companies that have a whistle blower policy in place.	100% Percentage of the number of portfolio companies that have a whistle blower policy in place.

Principal Adverse Impacts on sustainability factors

When making investment decisions, the investment team considered the possible negative impacts of investments on sustainability factors. The Fund Manager publishes a principal adverse impact (PAI) report on its website and the website of the Fund annually.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Control Statement

The Board of Privium Fund Management B.V. declares to have an AO/IB (Handbook) that meets the requirements of the "Wet op het financieel toezicht and the 'Besluit gedragtoezicht financiële ondernemingen ('Bgfo)". During 2025 we assessed the various aspects of the Privium operations as outlined in the AO/IB (Handbook). We have not identified any internal control measures that do not meet the requirements of Article 121 of the Bgfo and as such we declare that the operations in the year 2025 functioned effectively as described. During 2025 a number of independent service providers have conducted checks on Privium's operations as part of their ongoing responsibility and investor demand. No errors have been signaled.

Privium is updating its AO/IC (Handbook) on a regular basis as required by law. The 2025 update was completed in December 2025. During the fourth quarter of 2025 and the first two months of 2026 the external audit officer performed its annual due diligence on a number of internal procedures at the Fund Manager. These are related to Compliance and Risk Management. The external audit officer has reported his findings to the Fund Manager in a report. No meaningful errors have been signaled.

General principles of remuneration policy Privium Fund Management B.V. ('Privium')

Privium Fund Management B.V. ("Privium") has a careful, controlled and sustainable remuneration policy which meets all requirements included in the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD) and the guidelines on sound remuneration policies under the AIFMD (ESMA Guidelines). In line with the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) the remuneration policy of Privium takes into account sustainability risks. The remuneration policy is consistent with and contributes to a sound and effective risk management framework and does not encourage risk taking beyond what is acceptable for Privium.

The Board of Privium is responsible for establishing the Remuneration policy. The Board of Privium reviews the Remuneration policy at least once a year and the policy may be amended if circumstances warrant that. Remunerations at Privium may consist out of a fixed salary (this may include a payment to cover certain expenses of staff members) and a variable remuneration.

Privium may reclaim all or part of the variable remuneration paid if (i) this payment was made on the basis of incorrect information, (ii) in the event of fraud by the employee, (iii) in the event of serious improper behaviour by the employee or serious negligence in the performance of his tasks, or (iv) in the event of behaviour that has resulted in considerable losses for the fund or Privium.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Remuneration policy 2025

This overview is based on the situation as of December 31, 2025. The financial year of Privium ends on December 31 of any year. For some of the funds the compensation consists of both a management and a performance fee. Amounts reflect remuneration related to funds managed by Privium, for the time Privium was the Fund Manager of those funds.

The two tables below offer an overview of the remuneration at the level of Privium. The first table shows the remuneration overview as of December 31, 2024 and the second table shows the remuneration overview as of December 31, 2025.

Information per fund is not available. The Board of Privium is being described as Identified Staff in senior management roles. All other staff members are categorized as identified staff outside senior management roles.

Overview as December 31, 2024

	Identified staff in senior management roles	Identified staff outside senior management roles	Total staff
Number of staff	3	37	40
Total fixed remuneration	€ 354,407	€ 8,913,234	€ 9,267,641
Total variable remuneration	€ 40,000	€ 10,102,269	€ 10,142,269
Total remuneration	€ 394,407	€ 19,015,503	€ 19,409,910

Overview as December 31, 2025

	Identified staff in senior management roles	Identified staff outside senior management roles	Total staff
Number of staff	3	34	37
Total fixed remuneration	€ 386,591	€ 11,054,812	€ 11,441,403
Total variable remuneration	€ 40,000	€ 15,398,221	€ 15,438,221
Total remuneration	€ 426,591	€ 26,453,033	€ 26,879,624

Variable payments to both identified staff members in senior management roles and identified staff outside senior management depend on financial and non-financial performance indicators, such as; positive results of and the effort of employees to the profitability of the company, the performance of the funds, extraordinary commitment to the firm, customer satisfaction, work according best practice ethical standards, compliance with risk management policies, compliance with internal and external rules among them sustainability (risks). The variable payments are for at least 50% based on non-financial performance indicators and variable payments are not granted when the non-financial performance criteria- such as having taken into account the set (sustainability) risks - are not met.

Regarding the 2025 performance of Aescap Genetics an amount of EUR 366,438 has been paid to Identified Staff of Privium as a variable remuneration.

Privium has delegated certain portfolio management duties of some of its funds to outside investment advisers ('delegates'). Remuneration of identified staff of delegates is not included in the table. The delegates are subject to regulatory requirements on remuneration policies and disclosures that are comparable with the requirements applicable to Privium. Reference to the remuneration of the delegates is included in the Prospectus and annual report of the funds concerned. Since no delegates for portfolio management have been assigned for the Aescap Genetics this is not applicable to the Aescap Genetics.

Privium Fund Management B.V., the Fund Manager of the various funds, does not charge any employee remuneration fees to the funds.

Employee remuneration is paid out of the management and performance fees (if applicable). In total 37 staff members were involved during (some part of) the year 2025 (2024: 40), including consultants and including both part-time and full-time staff.

3 staff members earned more than Euro one million in relation to the performance results during the year 2025 (2024: 3).

Aescap Genetics Fund

Risk management and willingness to take risks

During the reporting year there were no risk beaches. The risk profile of the Fund hasn't changed during the reporting period. Neither did the investment objective (s) or any of the investment restrictions of the Fund changed during the reporting period.

Reference to the investment objective (s), risk profile and the investment restrictions of the Fund is made in the Prospectus of the Fund and the Key Information Document.

In the table below we list the various risk to which investors in the Fund are exposed and we discuss the measures applied to manage these risks and their potential impact on the Fund's NAV's.

Sorts of risks	Risk hedged	Measures applied and expected effectiveness	Impact on 2025 NAV	Expected impact on 2026 NAV if risk materializes	Adjustments made or expected adjustments to risk management in 2025 or 2026
Price/Market Risk	No	The fund has been holding cash and long only equity positions. Bottom-up company analysis is a very important item in mitigating risks during the holding period of a position. However share price fluctuations due to general equity market movements during the holding period can't be mitigated or avoided in full by conducting company analysis. This risk is inherent when securities like equities are traded.	The Fund gained +21.4% in 2025. The Fund outperformed the Ishares Biotechnology ETF in 2025. This ETF gained +19.8% in 2025 (in EUR). The Fund also outperformed the MSCI World Small Cap Biotech Index (in EUR), which gained +19% in 2025.	Investments are being selected because of its interesting merits (product pipeline, attractive valuation, expected events, etc) but this will also depend on general market circumstances.	No
Sector risk	No	The Biotechnology sector is deemed to be a high risk sector. Bottom-up company analysis is a very important item in mitigating risks during the holding period of a position. However share price fluctuations due to company specific items during the holding period can't be mitigated or avoided in full by conducting company analysis.	The Fund gained +21.4% in 2025. The Fund outperformed the Ishares Biotechnology ETF in 2025. This ETF gained +19.8% in 2025 (in EUR). The Fund also outperformed the MSCI World Small Cap Biotech Index (in EUR), which gained +19% in 2025.	Investments are being selected because of its interesting merits (attractive valuation, expected events, etc) but this will also depend on general market circumstances.	No
Interest rate risk	No	The Fund has no interest bearing financial instruments except for cash at bank (including custodian). Therefore the Fund is not exposed to significant interest rate risk.	None	None	No
Foreign Exchange risk	No	FX risk is not being hedged. It is not expected that this will change in the near future either.	Over 96% of the investments are denominated in USD and because of the depreciation of the US Dollar in 2025, the US Dollar depreciated 11.9% vs the Euro, this had a negative impact on results.	This will largely depend on FX movements.	No
Liquidity risk	No	Liquidity risk mostly has been mitigated by investing in positions that offer sufficient liquidity	None	We would not expect a negative NAV impact if this risk would materialize.	No
Credit risk	No	Spare cash is maintained at Saxo Bank and ABN AMRO. Both parties are deemed to be solvent and we would reconsider the relationship if this changes.	None	None	No
Operational risk	No	This risk is mostly mitigated by having rigid operational procedures in place. Next to that duties and responsibilities are clearly divided between Privium employees. The same is applicable at the service providers of the several Privium Funds.	None	None	No
Counterparty Risk	No	This risk is mostly mitigated by selecting and maintaining relationships with top tier counterparties and service providers.	None	None	No
Leverage Risk	No	The Fund is not using leverage (no implied leverage and no actual borrowings). Nevertheless as of December 31, 2025 the leverage calculations according to the Gross method and Commitment method are as follows: Gross method: 99.9% and Commitment method: 100%.	None	None	No
Sustainability Risk	No	Sustainability risks are categorized into Environmental, Social or Governance (ESG) issues and may pose a material risk to the value of an investment. Since the Fund is investing in companies, a clear understanding of the sustainability risks in these companies is required. Therefore, the due diligence process for any new investment will contain at a minimum the following topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Analysis of the company's sustainability risk related disclosures and reporting; •Questioning management on the sustainability risks the company is exposed to; •Questioning management on the concentration of sustainability risks and its development over time; •Analysis of the management's policy for identifying, measuring and monitoring sustainability risks; and •Questioning if the management takes Principle Adverse Impacts into account as prescribed by the SFDR. 	None	None	No
Fraud Risk	No	The Fund Manager is aware of the possibility of fraud which might affect the assets of the Fund. Because of this the Fund Manager applies a range of measures and procedures to mitigate the fraud risk. These procedures are part of the Handbook of the Fund Manager. Due to the segregation of duties and responsibilities among people the risk of	No	This will depend on the scope and composition of the Fraud taking place.	No

Aescap Genetics Fund

Amsterdam, 21 April 2026

On behalf of Privium Fund Management B.V.

Robert Jan van Hoorn
(Director)

Mark Baak
(Director)

Aescap Genetics Fund

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance Sheet as per December 31, 2025

		31-12-25		31-12-24	
	Notes	EUR	EUR	EUR	EUR
Investments					
Securities	4	38,714,442		30,887,032	
			38,714,442		30,887,032
Receivables and current assets					
Cash at Banks	5	3,354,490		55,883	
Other assets	6	10,638		459,008	
			3,365,128		514,891
Total Long Term and Current Assets			42,079,570		31,401,923
Current Liabilities (Due within One Year)					
Payables to the Fund Manager	7	105,342		17,610	
Payables for administration-, custodian- depository- and legal owner fees	7	23,900		15,201	
Other payables	7	-		433,837	
Subscriptions: Funds received in Advance	7	3,300,000		-	
Total Current Liabilities			3,429,242		466,648
Total of Receivables and Current Assets Less Current Liabilities			(64,114)		48,243
TOTAL ASSETS LESS CURRENT LIABILITIES			38,650,328		30,935,275
Investors' equity					
Issued capital	8.1		32,275,477		31,951,309
Other reserve	8.2		(1,036,810)		129,837
Legal reserve	8.3		10,639		20,776
Undistributed result current period	8.4		7,401,022		(1,166,647)
TOTAL INVESTORS' EQUITY			38,650,328		30,935,275

Aescap Genetics Fund**Profit & Loss Account for the year January 01, 2025 – December 31, 2025**

		01-01-25 / 31-12-25		01-01-24 / 31-12-24	
	Notes			EUR	EUR
Direct Income from Investments					
Dividends	10.1	-		-	
Interest Income	10.2	3,700		13,481	
			3,700		13,481
Indirect Income from Investments					
Unrealised Price (Losses)/Gains on Investments	11.1	6,673,422		(4,739,071)	
Unrealised Currency Gains/(Losses) on Investments	11.3	(3,359,492)		1,775,934	
Realised Price Gains on Investments	11.2	5,523,654		2,218,352	
Realised Currency Losses on Investments	11.4	(718,748)		(24,895)	
			8,118,836		(769,680)
Other Income					
Exchange Differences on Cash (including FX)		4,572		3,126	
Subscription and redemption fees	10.3	445		10,093	
			5,017		13,219
Total Income			8,127,553		(742,980)
Expenses					
Management Fee	12.1	191,865		179,175	
Administration Fee	12.2	81,516		77,122	
Custodian, Depositary and Legal Owner Fee	12.2	48,539		46,521	
Audit Fee	12.2	25,945		23,301	
Other Expenses	12.2	12,228		8,641	
Performance fees	12.1	366,438		88,907	
			(726,531)		(423,667)
Depreciation					
Amortization of intangible fixed assets	6	10,137		10,137	
			10,137		10,137
Taxation					
Withholding tax expense		-		-	
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/ LOSS			<u>7,390,885</u>		<u>(1,176,784)</u>

Aescap Genetics Fund**Statement of Cash Flows for the year January 01, 2025 – December 31, 2025**

	01-01-25 / 31-12-25		01-01-24 / 31-12-24	
			EUR	EUR
Cash Flow from Investing Activities				
Total Investment Result		7,390,885		(1,176,784)
Realised Price and Currency Results	(4,804,905)		(2,193,457)	
Unrealised Price and Currency Results	(3,313,930)		2,963,137	
Amortization of intangible fixed assets	10,137		10,137	
Purchase of Investments	(23,473,242)		(29,793,600)	
Sales of Investments	23,764,668		12,786,535	
Change in other receivables	438,232		(438,232)	
Change in Current Liabilities	2,962,594		443,380	
		(4,416,446)		(16,222,100)
Net Cash Flow from Investing Activities		2,974,439		(17,398,884)
Cash Flow from Financing Activities				
Subscriptions	898,426		19,241,000	
Redemptions	(574,258)		(2,186,160)	
Net Cash Flow from Financing Activities		324,168		17,054,840
Net Cash Flow		3,298,607		(344,044)
Exchange Differences on Cash		-		-
Change in Cash at bank		3,298,607		(344,044)
Change in Cash at bank				
Cash and Cash Equivalents at the Start of the reporting year		55,883		399,927
Cash and Cash Equivalents at the End of the reporting year		3,354,490		55,883
Changes in Cash at bank		3,298,607		(344,044)

Aescap Genetics Fund

Notes

1. General

The Fund is a mutual Fund ("fonds voor gemene rekening") under the laws of the Netherlands. It does not have legal personality.

The Fund's financial year runs from January 1 up to and including December 31. The financial statements will be made up in accordance with Title 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code. The financial statements are reported in Euros and are published within four (4) months after the end of the financial year. The financial statements consists of a report from the Fund Manager together with the annual accounts. The annual accounts consist of the balance sheet, the profit and loss account and the explanation thereof. The explanation will include at least an overview of the evolution of the Fund's value over the financial year and the composition of the investments of the Fund at the end of the financial year concerned. The annual accounts are audited by Forvis Mazars Accountants N.V., whereas the semi annual accounts did not have had an audit by the independent auditor. The financial statements shall be made available to the Unit Holders via webportal.

The Fund started its activities as per January 19, 2022.

Tax Position of the Fund

Corporate income tax

The Fund is tax transparent for Dutch corporate tax purposes, as a consequence of which the Fund is not subject to Dutch corporate income tax. From a Dutch tax perspective, the returns on the investments received by the Fund directly influence the tax position of the Unit Holder.

Withholding taxes

Distributions by the Fund are not subject to Dutch dividend withholding tax as a consequence of its transparency for tax purposes. Due to its transparency, the Fund itself is not entitled to any credit or refund of Dutch dividend withholding tax or (non-reclaimable) foreign withholding taxes withheld on dividends and interest received, nor can the Fund claim any benefits under a tax treaty concluded by the Netherlands with other states.

The tax transparency of the Fund also implies that any dividend withholding tax and foreign withholding taxes withheld on its investments are allocated to the Unit Holders, i.e., on a pro rata basis. In principle, such withholding taxes may be set off by the Unit Holders, whereby the conditions that apply are the same as would be the case for a direct investment (pro rata) by the relevant Unit Holder.

For the reporting period the fund did not receive any (withholding)tax refunds.

2. Principles of Valuation

2.1 Valuation of assets and

The assets and liabilities of the Fund will be valued in accordance with the following policies and principles:

Securities stated as investments are initially recognized at fair value plus directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequently these securities are stated against fair value. Gains and losses arising from fair value changes are taken to the profit and loss account.

Securities

- listed securities (shares) will be stated against fair value at initial recognition and subsequently stated at fair value. The fair value is determined at the last official traded price ("closing price") of the Business Day preceding the Valuation Day (or, if a stock exchange was not open for business, the previous Business Day).

- warrants are initially valued at fair value. The fair value is determined at the last official traded price and if not available warrants will be valued at a theoretical valuation model such as Black & Scholes.

Other assets and liabilities

- liquidities and deposits which are immediately payable are valued at amortized cost.

- assets and liabilities in a foreign currency will be converted into Euro at the exchange rate on the last Business Day preceding the Valuation Day.

- other assets and liabilities are valued at their nominal value.

Expenses related to the purchase of investments are included in the cost of investments. Sales charges, if any, are deducted from gross proceeds and will be expressed in the capital gain/losses.

2.2. Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities in foreign currencies are translated into EUR at the rate of exchange as at the balance sheet date. All exchange differences are taken to the profit and loss account. Income and expenses in foreign currencies are translated at the exchange rate as per transaction date.

Rates of exchange used as per December 31, 2025:

	<u>31-12-25</u>	<u>31-12-24</u>
EUR / USD	1.1746	1.0353
EUR / DKK	7.4693	7.4577

Aescap Genetics Fund

Notes (continued)

2.3 Other Assets and Liabilities

Other assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value and then valued at amortised cost.

2.4 Income Recognition Principles

The result is determined by deducting expenses from the proceeds of dividend, interest and other income in the period under review. The realised revaluations of investments are determined by deducting the purchase price from the sale proceeds. The unrealised revaluations of investments are determined by deducting the purchase price or the balance sheet value at the start of the period under review from the balance sheet value at the end of the period under review. Brokerage fees payable on the acquisition of investments, if any, are considered to be part of the investments costs, and as a result, are not taken to the profit and loss account.

2.5 Cash Flow Statement

The cash flow statement is prepared according to the indirect method. The presentation of the cash flow is derived from the investment result. In accordance with RJ 615.310 the cash flow statements consists of cash flows from investing and financing activities.

Cash flows in foreign currencies during the year are translated at the exchange rate prevailing at the transaction date. Exchange rate differences are separately included in the cash flow statement between the net cash flow and the change in cash.

2.6 Subscription and Redemption of Units

2.6.1 Subscription

Currently the Fund is offering the following Unit Classes to Unit Holders:

- AEscap Genetics Manager: Minimum investment is EUR 100,000
- AEscap Genetics Investors: Minimum investment is EUR 500,000
- AEscap Genetics Investors 10M+ ; Minimum investment is EUR 10,000,000
- AEscap Genetics Investors 20M+ : Minimum investment is EUR 20,000,000
- AEscap Genetics Investors 30M+ : Minimum investment is EUR 30,000,000

The Fund Manager can accept a lower minimum subscription amount for the Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class. This minimum can be lowered to EUR 10,000. Clients of wealth managers, family offices or private banks, who have an executed discretionary portfolio management agreement or investment advisory services agreement with the wealth manager, family office or private bank, are accepted when the investment decision to invest in the Aescap Genetics is taken or advised by the wealth manager, family office or private bank. This will only be possible for the Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class.

Additionally, for family members (being defined as first and second degree relatives) of existing Unit Holders, subscription amounts below EUR 100,000 can be accepted as well. Here the subscription will be linked to the size of the current investment of the existing Unit Holder.

Existing Unit Holders can make applications for further Units in amounts of € 10,000 or more.

Subscription requests (latest 1 business day prior to the Transaction Day)

The Fund may issue new Units of a particular Unit Class on each Transaction Day at the Net Asset Value per Unit in such Unit Class on the preceding Valuation Day. The Units shall be issued in Amsterdam. An anti-dilution levy will be charged, with a maximum of 0.05% of the subscription amount during normal market circumstances. This in order to meet any costs and expenses of the Fund incurred for the acquisition of Fund Assets in order to issue the Units. The anti-dilution levy is for the benefit of the Fund. The anti-dilution levy may be higher than 0.05% during times of severe market stress. The Fund Manager shall determine the anti-dilution levy for every Transaction Day based on the pending subscriptions and redemptions on that particular Transaction Date.

Payment (latest 1 business day prior to the Transaction Day)

Payment is possible only through a bank account in the name of the Unit Holder. The subscription amount must be received in the account of the Legal Owner ultimately one Business Day prior to the relevant Transaction Day. No interest will be paid over the subscription amount for the period between the payment of the subscription amount and the issuance of Units. The interest which is accrued is for the benefit of the Fund. If the amount and/or forms are not received within the required timeframe, the subscription will take effect at the first business day of the following month. The Fund will make no adjustment or compensation for interest received over this period.

2.6.2 Redemption

Unless redemption is suspended (see "Suspension of redemption" below), the Fund will accept redemptions of Units on each Transaction Day at the Net Asset Value thereof in the applicable Series on the preceding Valuation Day (the "redemption value"), after deducting an anti-dilution levy of 0.05% of the redemption sum during normal market circumstances. The anti-dilution levy is to cover the transaction and other costs made in connection with the redemption and will be for the benefit of the Fund. This fee will be deducted from the amount to be received by the Unit Holder. The anti-dilution levy may be higher than 0.05% during times of severe market stress. The Fund Manager shall determine the anti-dilution levy for every Transaction Day based on the pending subscriptions and redemptions on that particular Transaction Date and will inform the Unit Holders of such determined anti-dilution levy.

Aescap Genetics Fund**Notes (continued)*****Redemption requests***

Redemption requests have to be received by the Fund Manager at least five (5) Business Days before the desired Transaction Day. The redemption request should indicate the amount in number of Units, up to four (4) decimals, for which redemption is requested. Partial redemption is only allowed if after redemption the Unit Holder is still holding Units with an aggregate Net Asset Value of at least € 100,000. The Fund Manager will check this upon receiving the redemption request. The Net Asset Value of the Units offered for redemption needs to amount to at least € 10,000.

To request for redemption, Unit Holders must use a special form, which can be downloaded from the Website.

Payment redemption amount

Redemption sums (Net Asset Value per Unit minus the anti-dilution levy) will be paid within five (5) Business Days of redemption to the bank account of the Unit Holders as mentioned in the register of Unit Holders. Payment on this bank account constitutes a discharge of the Fund towards the respective Unit Holder of the obligation to pay the redemption amount.

3. Financial instrument risk factors***Financial instrument risk management***

The Fund and its manager has adequate risk management policies, procedures and systems in order to identify, measure, manage and monitor all risks that are relevant to the investment strategy and to which Aescap Genetics Fund is or may be exposed. The Manager has highly qualified staff in control functions which operate independently from the investment professionals. The Risk Manager performs an analysis of the governance, adequacy and effectiveness of risk limits, reporting and follow-up procedures on a regular basis. In addition, the Depository of the Fund independently performs several checks regarding ownership of assets, valuations and cash flows from and to investors.

For the risk management and willingness to take risks disclosures we refer to the management report.

Aescap Genetics Fund

Notes to the Balance Sheet

4. Investments

4.1 Statement of Changes in Securities

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Position as at the Start of the Year	30,887,032	14,649,647
Purchases	23,473,242	29,793,600
Sales	(23,764,668)	(12,786,535)
Realised gains/(losses) on investments	4,804,906	2,193,457
Unrealised (losses)/gains on investments	3,313,930	(2,963,137)
Position as at the End of the Year	<u>38,714,442</u>	<u>30,887,032</u>

	Position 01/01/25	Purchases	Sales	Realised and unrealised results	Position 31/12/25
Equity Investments	30,887,032	23,473,242	(23,764,668)	8,118,836	38,714,442
Total	<u>30,887,032</u>	<u>23,473,242</u>	<u>(23,764,668)</u>	<u>8,118,836</u>	<u>38,714,442</u>

4.2 Transaction Costs

Transaction costs for the purchase of investments are capitalized within the historical cost price and for sales the transaction costs are discounted from the sales price. The transaction costs for the period amounts to € 12,606. (2024: € 11,914).

5. Cash at Banks

Cash comprises cash held with ABN AMRO Bank N.V. and Saxo Bank with no usage restrictions amounts to € 3,354,490. (2024: € 55,883)

6. Other assets

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Prepaid organisation expenses	10,638	20,776
Due from broker	-	438,232
	<u>10,638</u>	<u>459,008</u>

7. Current Liabilities (Due within One Year)

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Management Fee (including Incentive Fee) payable	105,342	17,610
Audit Fee payable	7,500	6,200
Other Expenses payable	7,059	500
Administration / Legal owner Fee payable / Custodian fees payable	9,341	8,501
Due to broker	-	433,837
Subscriptions: Funds received in Advance	3,300,000	-
Total Current Liabilities (Due within One Year)	<u>3,429,242</u>	<u>466,648</u>

The fair value of the current liabilities is in line with its book value, considering the short-term nature of these liabilities.

Aescap Genetics Fund**Notes to the Balance Sheet (Continued)****8. Investors' equity****8.1 Issued capital**

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Position as at the Start of the reporting period	31,951,309	14,896,469
Subscriptions	898,426	19,241,000
Redemptions	(574,258)	(2,186,160)
Position as at the End of reporting period	32,275,477	31,951,309

8.2 Other reserve

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Position as at the Start of the reporting period	129,837	181,697
Transferred from Undistributed Result	(1,166,647)	(51,860)
Position as at the End of the reporting period	(1,036,810)	129,837

8.3 Legal reserve

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Position as at the Start of the reporting period	20,776	30,913
Transferred to Undistributed Result	(10,137)	(10,137)
Position as at the End of the reporting period	10,639	20,776

8.4 Undistributed result current period

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Position as at the Start of the reporting period	(1,166,647)	(51,860)
Transferred to General Reserve	1,166,647	51,860
Transferred from Legal Reserve	10,137	10,137
Total loss for the period	7,390,885	(1,176,784)
Position as at the End of the reporting period	7,401,022	(1,166,647)

**8.5 Investors' equity
(Fund Net Asset Value)**

	Net Asset Value per unit	Number of units	Investors' equity 31/12/2025
AESCAP GENETICS Manager	1,157.8901	18,066.2825	20,918,770
AESCAP GENETICS Investors	1,062.0233	16,696.0169	17,731,558
Total Shareholders' Equity (Fund Net Asset Value)			38,650,328

9. Subsequent events (events after the balance sheet date)

Globally there are several Geopolitical conflicts pending. The outcomes are uncertain and hard to predict. Further escalation of the individual conflicts are expected to dampen global growth. This might have an impact on the performance of the Fund.

No other material events occurred after the balance sheet date that could influence the transparency of the financial statements.

Aescap Genetics Fund**Notes to the Profit & Loss Account****10. Income from Investments****10.1 Dividends**

This refers to net cash dividends including withholding tax amounts to Nil (2024: Nil)

10.2 Interest Income

This amount was received / paid on outstanding cash balances amounts to € 3,700. (2024: € 13,481)

10.3 Other Income

This refers to the charges received on units issued and repurchased. These costs are to cover transaction costs in relation with the purchase and sale of units and are booked as an income for the Fund amounts to € 445. (2024: € 10,093)

11.1 Unrealised price gains / losses on investments

This refers to unrealised gains on securities € 13,218,810 (2024: € 4,667,024) and unrealised losses on securities – € 6,545,388 (2024: –€ 9,406,095).

11.2 Realised price gains / losses on Investments

This refers to realised gains on securities € 8,042,824 (2024: € 2,806,949) and realised losses on securities – € 2,519,170 (2024: –€ 588,597).

11.3 Unrealised currency gains / losses on Investments

This refers to unrealised currency losses on securities € 3,359,492 (2024: € 1,775,934)

11.4 Realised currency gains / losses on Investments

This refers to realised gains on securities € 85,421 (2024: € 77,883) and realised losses on securities –€ 804,169 (2024:– € 102,778).

12. Expenses**12.1 Management Fees**

(1) Management Fee

(2) Performance Fee

	<u>01-01-25 /</u> <u>31-12-25</u>	<u>01-01-24 /</u> <u>31-12-24</u>
	191,865	179,175
	366,438	88,907
	<u>558,303</u>	<u>268,082</u>

Management fees

The following annual management fee applies per Unit Class:

- AEscap Genetics Manager: 0%
- AEscap Genetics Investors: 1.44%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 10M+: 1.35%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 20M+: 1.2%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 30M+: 1%

The mentioned fees are calculated on a weekly basis based on the Net Asset Value of the Fund, to be paid monthly in arrears.

The minimum management fee will at all times be at least EUR 75,000 per annum (net of any VAT). In case the management fee falls below this level the Fund will be liquidated.

Performance fees

The following performance fee applies per Unit Class:

- AEscap Genetics Manager: 0%
- AEscap Genetics Investors: 17%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 10M+: 15.5%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 20M+: 14%
- AEscap Genetics Investors 30M+ : 12.5%

The performance fee will be calculated and measured on each Valuation Date and payable monthly or at redemption. The Fund Manager is entitled to a performance fee if the individual Unit Classes have appreciated in value and have exceeded the High-Water Mark of that particular Unit Class during the Performance Fee Calculation Period. The performance fee calculation is subject to a full historic high-water mark. All Units of the relevant Unit Class have the same NAV per Unit and High-Water Mark. The first Performance Fee Calculation Period will be the period commencing on the Business Day immediately following the close of the Initial Subscription Period for a Unit Class until the first Valuation Day. Thereafter each performance fee calculation period will run from any Transaction Day until the next Valuation Day. The performance fee is calculated on the basis of the NAV after deduction of all expenses, liabilities, including the Management Fee and is adjusted to take account of all subscriptions and redemptions.

Aescap Genetics Fund**Notes to the Profit & Loss Account (Continued)****12.2 Other expenses**

	31/12/2025	31/12/2024
Administration Fee	81,516	77,122
Custodian, Depositary and Legal Owner Fee	48,539	46,521
Audit Fee *	25,945	23,301
Other	12,228	8,641
	168,228	155,585

* The audit fees fully relate to the audit of the financial statements of the fund. The auditor provides no other services.

Ongoing Cost Figure (OCF)

For the reporting period the OCF of the fund (Aescap Genetics Manager Class) is equal to: 0.62% (2024: 1.75%).

For the reporting period the OCF of the fund (Aescap Genetics Investors Class) is equal to: 2.06% (2024: 2.25%).

For the reporting period the OCF (including performance fee) of the fund is equal to: 4.81% (2024 : 2.98%).

In the prospectus the OCF of the fund is estimated to be 1.77% per annum, assuming an average Net Asset Value of the fund of € 50 million (1.61% for a Net Asset Value of the fund of € 150 million). The OCF figures as disclosed above are valid for the Aescap Genetics Investors Unit Class whereas the fund management shares do not have management fees and performance fees included.

Portfolio Turnover Ratio (PTR)

The portfolio turnover ratio is calculated as follows: the total sum of purchases plus sales minus subscriptions minus redemptions divided by the average Net Asset Value. The average Net Asset Value of the Fund for the reporting period is calculated as described in the Ongoing Cost Figure paragraph.

PTR of the fund for the reporting period equals to: 159.77% (2024: 269.42%).

Contractual agreement Depositary

The Fund has entered into a depositary agreement with Apex Depositary Services B.V. For the Depositary Services the Depositary charges an annual amount equal to:

1. 0.014% of the Net Asset Value of the Fund for the Fund having a Net Asset Value of up to EUR 225 million and;
2. 0.012% of the Net Asset Value of the Fund for the Fund having a Net Asset Value above EUR 225 million.

When exceeding a layer threshold, the calculated amount can never be less than the total amount calculated in the previous layer. The minimum annual fee is EUR 26,000 (excluding VAT), payable quarterly in advance. In case the Net Asset Value of the Fund is lower than EUR 50 million, the minimum annual fee will be EUR 22.000

Aescap Genetics Fund**Comparison of Real Cost with Cost According to Prospectus Aescap Genetics Fund Investors Unit Class.**

	According to prospectus *	Actual costs	Actual %
Management Fee (excl. VAT)	1.440%	191,865	1.44%
Incentive Fee (excl. VAT)	PM	366,438	PM
Legal Owner Manager Fee (incl. VAT)	0.030%	7,336	0.06%
Administrators Fee (excl. VAT)	0.110%	37,787	0.28%
Depository Fee (excl. VAT)	0.060%	14,520	0.11%
Audit costs (incl. VAT)	0.050%	12,021	0.09%
Miscellaneous costs – incl. write off formation expenses – (incl. VAT)	0.024%	11,026	0.08%
	1.71%	640,993	2.06%

*As tabled above the Ongoing Charges Figure will be around 1.71% per annum at a fund size of € 50 million and around 1.55% at a fund size of € 150 million. The above projected OCF numbers are for the AESCAP GENETICS Investors Unit Class.

12. Related party transactions

Related party transactions are transfers of resources, services or obligations between related parties and the Fund, regardless of whether a price has been charged. Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability to control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial or operational decisions or is part of key management of the Fund. The following provides details on the related parties of the Fund and transactions with the related parties.

The Fund Manager is considered a related party and was entitled for the following compensation in relation to the reporting period:

Management fee : € 191,865 (2024: € 179,175)
Performance fee : € 366,438 (2024: € 88,907)

13. Employees

The Fund has no employees (2024: Nil).

Amsterdam, 21 April 2026

On behalf of Privium Fund Management B.V.

Robert Jan van Hoorn
(Director)

Mark Baak
(Director)

Aescap Genetics Fund**Other Information****Distribution Policy**

The dividends and interest received by the Fund, as well as possible capital gains, will not be distributed but will be re-invested, unless distribution would be deemed appropriate by the Fund Manager in connection with the regulatory status of the Fund Manager.

Fund Managers Interests in the Fund

As at 31 December 2025, the number of Fund Manager related Units (Aescap Genetics Manager) included 18,066.2825 of which 13,203.9242 Units were maintained by Aescap Life Sciences. As at 31 December 2024, the number of Fund Manager related Units (Aescap Genetics Manager) included 18,241.2356 of which 13,203.9242 Units were maintained by Aescap Life Sciences.

The Portfolio Manager of the Fund, Patrick Krol, holds the following positions directly or through his management company as per December 31, 2025 respectively December 31, 2024 in companies in which the Fund is invested as well:

Name	Currency	31/12/2025 Number of shares	31/12/2024 Number of shares
ProQR Therapeutics	USD	61,713	61,713
Wave Life Sciences	USD	700	700
Beam Therapeutics	USD	200	Position was not held by Aescap Genetics
Cartesian Therapeutics	USD	750	Position was not held by Aescap Genetics

Independent Auditor's report

Find enclosed the Independent auditor's report on next page.

Independent auditor's report

To the participants of Aescap Genetics

Report on the audit of the financial statements 2025 included in the annual report

Our opinion

We have audited the financial statements 2025 of Aescap Genetics, based in Amsterdam.

In our opinion the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of Aescap Genetics as at 31 December 2025 and of its result for 2025 in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

The financial statements comprise:

1. the balance sheet per 31 December 2025;
2. the profit and loss account for 2025; and
3. the notes comprising a summary of the accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Basis for our opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Dutch law, including the Dutch Standards on Auditing. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report.

We are independent of Aescap Genetics in accordance with the Wet toezicht accountantsorganisaties (Wta, Audit firms supervision act), the Verordening inzake de onafhankelijkheid van accountants bij assurance-opdrachten (ViO, Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, a regulation with respect to independence) and other relevant independence regulations in the Netherlands. Furthermore, we have complied with the Verordening gedrags- en beroepsregels accountants (VGBA, Dutch Code of Ethics).

We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Information in support of our opinion

We designed our audit procedures in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole and in forming our opinion thereon. The following information in support of our opinion was addressed in this context, and we do not provide a separate opinion or conclusion on these matters.

Audit response to the risks of fraud and non-compliance with laws and regulations

We refer to page 16 of the manager’s report, in which the management of Aescap Genetics has included an overview of its own risk assessments.

As part of our process for identifying risks of material misstatement in the financial statements resulting from fraud, we considered fraud risk factors related to fraudulent financial reporting, misappropriation of assets, and corruption. We evaluated whether these factors could indicate a risk of material misstatement in the financial statements due to fraud. Based on this assessment, we identified such a risk in relation to:

1. Management override of controls by the manager.
2. Inaccurate calculation of the performance fee.

We have rebutted the assumed risk in revenue recognition given the nature of the revenue. Revenue consists of returns on listed investments.

Management override of controls by the manager	Our specific audit approach
We identified the risk of management override of controls by the manager in areas where postings and other adjustments are made during the financial statement closing process.	<p>We evaluated the design and implementation of controls within the financial statement closing process.</p> <p>Based on, among others, (qualitative) risk criteria, we selected journal entries and adjustments made during the financial statement closing process and performed procedures on these items.</p> <p>Finally, we incorporated an element of unpredictability into our procedures.</p>

Inaccurate calculation of the performance fee	Our specific audit approach
<p>As part of our audit approach, we placed specific focus on the performance fee within the fund.</p> <p>The manager is in a position whereby, through the performance fee calculation methodology, more funds could potentially be extracted from the fund than is permitted under the agreed terms and conditions in the prospectus.</p>	<p>We evaluated the design and implementation of controls surrounding the performance fee.</p> <p>We performed substantive testing procedures to verify that the calculated performance fee expenses are accurate.</p>

Compliance with laws and regulations

We have obtained an understanding of the relevant laws and regulations in accordance with auditing standards. The potential effect of the identified laws and regulations on the financial statements varies considerably.

Firstly, the Fund is subject to laws and regulations that directly affect the financial statements, including taxation and financial reporting. We assessed the extent of compliance with these laws and regulations as part of our procedures on the related financial statement items.

Secondly, the Fund is subject to many other laws and regulations where the consequences of non-compliance could have an indirect material effect on amounts recognized or disclosures provided in the financial statements, or both, for instance through the imposition of fines or litigation. We identified the following areas as those most likely to have such an indirect effect:

- the requirements by or pursuant to the Act on Financial Supervision (Wet op het financieel toezicht);
- the anti-money laundering laws and regulations.

We held enquiries with management as to whether the entity is in compliance with these laws and regulations. We also did an inspection of relevant correspondence with regulatory authorities. We also remained alert to indications of (suspected) non-compliance throughout the audit, held enquiries with the external depository, inspected the compliance reports and obtained a written representation from management that all known instances of (suspected) non-compliance with laws and regulations were disclosed to us.

Our observations

The aforementioned audit procedures have been performed in the context of the audit of the financial statements. Consequently, they are not planned and performed as a specific investigation regarding fraud and non-compliance with laws and regulations. Our audit procedures have not led to any findings.

Going concern

In preparing the financial statements, management must consider whether the fund is able to continue as a going concern. Management must prepare financial statements on the going concern basis unless management intends to liquidate the fund or cease operations or if termination is the only realistic alternative.

The manager has not identified any circumstances that could threaten the continuity of the fund and thus concludes that the going concern assumption is appropriate for the fund.

Our audit of the financial statements requires us to determine that the going concern assumption used by management is acceptable. We discussed and evaluated the specific assessment with management exercising professional judgment and maintaining professional scepticism. In doing so, based on the audit evidence obtained, we must determine whether there are any events or circumstances that might cast reasonable doubt on whether the fund can continue as a going concern.

Our observations

Most importantly, we have assessed that the structure of the fund limits the going concern risk as the fund only invests in liquid assets and is not leveraged with external debt. Based on the procedures performed by us, we are of the opinion that the financial statements have been properly prepared on the going concern basis.

Report on the other information included in the annual report

In addition to the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon, the annual report contains other information that consists of:

- the general information, profile, overview (key figures) Aescap Genetics, profile & structure and management report; and
- other information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

Based on the following procedures performed, we conclude that the other information:

- is consistent with the financial statements and does not contain material misstatements;
- contains the information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

We have read the other information. Based on our knowledge and understanding obtained through our audit of the financial statements or otherwise, we have considered whether the other information contains material misstatements.

By performing these procedures, we comply with the requirements of Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code and the Dutch Standard 720. The scope of the procedures performed is substantially less than the scope of those performed in our audit of the financial statements.

Management is responsible for the preparation of the other information, including the management board's report in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code and other information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

Description of responsibilities regarding the financial statements

Responsibilities of management for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code. Furthermore, management is responsible for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

As part of the preparation of the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the fund's ability to continue as a going concern. Based on the financial reporting frameworks mentioned, management should prepare the financial statements using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the fund or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Management should disclose events and circumstances that may cast significant doubt on the fund's ability to continue as a going concern in the financial statements.

Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objective is to plan and perform the audit assignment in a manner that allows us to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence for our opinion.

Our audit has been performed with a high, but not absolute, level of assurance, which means we may not detect all material errors and fraud during our audit.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. The materiality affects the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures and the evaluation of the effect of identified misstatements on our opinion.

We have exercised professional judgement and have maintained professional scepticism throughout the audit, in accordance with Dutch Standards on Auditing, ethical requirements and independence requirements.

Our audit included e.g.:

- identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, designing and performing audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtaining audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control;
- obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the fund's internal control;

- evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management;
- concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting, and based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the fund's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause a fund to cease to continue as a going concern;
- evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures; and
- evaluating whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with management regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant findings in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We confirm to management with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

Amsterdam, 21 April 2026

Forvis Mazars Accountants N.V.

Original was signed by L. Zuur MSc RA

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Aescap Genetics

Legal entity identifier: 894500QH8OYTAUTIP32

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: ___% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: ___%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 35% of sustainable investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with a social objective <input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments

Note that the percentage of sustainable investments shown above is taken as a percentage of the net asset value (NAV) of the Fund which includes any cash or other operational portfolio management assets.



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

Percentage of investee companies researching, developing or producing treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need

It's the Fund's main focus to select companies that research, develop, or produce treatment/solutions for diseases with a high unmet medical need. These types of diseases are characterized by: (inadequacy of) available treatments, severity of impact on the patient, and the impact on the healthcare system in the system in the geographies the company currently markets or plans to market or distribute its current or future product(s).

● ***How did the sustainability indicators perform?***

Percentage of investee companies researching, developing or producing treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need

We consider that a company qualifies to contribute on these criteria among the fund's investments if:

For a company with product(s) on the market that has been profitable in the past 3 years: ≥50% of the products it markets are aimed at treating diseases with a high unmet medical need.

For an unprofitable company with product(s) on the market or for a company without product(s) on the market: ≥ 50% of its pipeline programs and products on the market are aimed at treating diseases with a high unmet medical need.

If a company qualifies, then the actual percentage of pipeline programs and/or products on the market addressing a high unmet medical need will be used in the calculation of how much of the fund AUM is contributing to this goal, proportionate to that company's weight in the portfolio.

If a company does not qualify, then it counts for 0% to the fund's contribution to the high unmet need goal.

In the above items, by product on the market, we mean a product marketed by the company or a product marketed by a partner/distributor from which the company derives any financial interest tied to sales (milestones payments, royalties, etc.).

In the above items, when we look at approved indication(s) of product(s), should there be difference between labels in different geographies/regulations, we take the indication with the broadest population approved to assess.

Based on the portfolio holdings per 31 December 2025, a weighted average of 93% of the Fund's Net Asset Value was invested in companies that are researching, developing or producing treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need. This represents 93% of the invested capital of the Fund.

Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies

The biotechnology industry is heavily reliant on highly educated and skilled people and having the right team in place can mean the difference between success and failure of a treatment. In addition, the application of treatments across countries, ethnicities and genders requires a broader research focus than the sector has historically applied. Therefore, a biotech company should employ a diverse mix of employees who all bring their specific knowledge and insights.

By reporting on the number of female-identifying board members and engaging with portfolio companies to collect this information alongside other employee engagement and diversity information, the sector is encouraged to improve its hiring practices and ultimately benefit from having diverse teams.

Based on the portfolio holdings per 31 December 2025, the average ratio of female versus male identifying board members for all portfolio companies was 0.44. This means that for every 1 female identifying board member, there were close to 2.3 male identifying board members. The lower ratio compared to last year is mostly due to the addition of younger companies that may not have access to the pool of diverse board members that more established companies have.




Percentage of investee companies that have a whistle blower policy in place

The development process of medical treatments holds multiple risks such as product and research quality, safe and responsible clinical trials and strong relations with patients, employees, and other stakeholders. These form the foundation for all companies in the healthcare sector. Strong whistle blower policies and protection support a work culture of trust and high quality which leads to better and safer medical treatments as well as increased public trust in the sector.

By reporting on the level of whistle blower policies and engaging with portfolio companies to collect this information, the sector is encouraged to foster the best working conditions and the highest quality levels in their medical treatment development processes.

Based on the portfolio holdings per 31 December 2025, 100% of the investee companies in the Fund had a whistle blower policy in place.

● **...and compared to previous periods?**

SDG	Target	How is the impact being measured	2025	2024	2023
	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages	Companies with ≥50% of products on the market or in development targets diseases with a high unmet medical need contribute to the target	92%	90%	91%
	Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies	0.44	0.44	0.58
	Increase sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work	Percentage of the number of portfolio companies that have a whistle blower policy in place.	100%	100%	100%

● **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

The objectives of the sustainable investments in the Fund are to research, develop or produce treatment for diseases with a high unmet medical need.

Developing medicines and other solutions for diseases where there is a high unmet medical need has a tremendous positive impact at various levels of our society. The first and most obvious is for patients affected by such diseases. The wide ranges of disease types and severity can impact people in many ways. Some of these diseases are eventually fatal, but the unmet medical need does not lie solely on mortality. In fact, patient's lives can be disrupted by conditions that impair the senses (e.g., blinding diseases, that can be congenital, age-related, or trauma related), affect walking and physical function abilities (e.g., muscle wasting diseases, not always fatal but always limiting), disrupt the integrity of the skin (e.g., skin scarring and scaling diseases), challenge the mental status of the patient (e.g., mood disorders),

as well as in countless other ways. A person's health should not be limited to assessing whether that person is alive, but the assessment should focus on the patient's overall wellbeing and the function of each organ system, including mental health. By alleviating or even curing any of the conditions, the quality and length of life for patients improves dramatically.

Improving people's health by developing medicines and other solutions, or enabling their development, has impacts that go beyond patients and their health. If patients' health improves, it can enable them to resume working, enjoying their social lives, and reduce the stress on family and friends, leading to an immediate contribution to the function of society as well as a relief on the healthcare system that was supporting them before, leading to reduced public and private spending as well as to lowered consumption of resources that can impact the environment.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The Fund investigated the processes and policies of all its investments on common environmental and social risks in the biotechnology sector as defined by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) and by the Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) indicators. If the investment did not report or was not deemed by the fund to be improving in the SASB/PAI listed relevant risks, then the investment is not counted as sustainable. In addition, the Fund excluded potential investments with a history of poor performance on sector best practice, that have insufficient policies or that have insufficient plans to improve their social and environmental impact.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Specifically, the Fund has identified the PAI indicators to be "very important" and "important", respectively based on their relevancy to the biotechnology sector and the specific areas where a company is most likely to do significant harm. Two of the mandatory PAI indicators are not deemed relevant for the companies within the scope of investment of the fund. The Fund's PAI report, available on its website, contains a detailed explanation of the PAIs considered. If the Fund concludes that an investment is at risk of having a negative impact on more than 1 of the very important indicators or on 5 or more of the important indicators, then the investment may be doing significant harm and therefore cannot be classified as sustainable. It may still contribute to the fund's social characteristic, however.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Each analysis included a scan for violations of OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and for processes and compliance mechanisms that each investment needs to have. More broadly, the totality of the questions and risk factors taken into account in each analysis are aligned with the UNGC and OECD general principles : human rights (e.g. inclusion in clinical trials and access to medicine, diversity and inclusion), labour (e.g. employee recruitment, development and retention), environment (e.g. PAI indicators and animal welfare), and anticorruption (e.g. ethical marketing, business ethics)

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Considering the Fund’s focus on biotechnology, the Fund assesses the material ESG risks as identified by SASB for this sector. For each of the SASB risks, the Portfolio Manager developed a more granular description to better align with biotechnology terminologies.

Human Rights & Community Relations = Inclusion of patients in need and outreach to lower income countries in clinical trials	Product Quality & Safety = Counterfeit products and product recalls
Access & Affordability = Access and affordability of medicines	Customer Welfare = Patient follow up and support
Selling Practices & Product Labelling = Ethical marketing	Supply Chain Management= Bioethics and Supply chain management
Employee Engagement, Diversity & Inclusion = Diversity & inclusion in the biotech industry	Business Ethics= Business Ethics

In some cases, an investee company may fall under a different SASB sector, resulting in different material ESG risks.

The material ESG risks also inform which PAIs which are deemed to be most material for the Fund’s analysis. The voluntary social PAI (Insufficient whistleblower protection) is selected based on the same principle. It is related to multiple material SASB ESG risks as product and research quality, safe and responsible clinical trials and strong relations with patients, employees and other stakeholders are the foundation for all companies in the healthcare sector. Strong whistle blower policies and protection is a clear indicator of a company’s commitment to high standards in this area and therefore selected as the voluntary social PAI.

The voluntary environmental PAI (Breakdown of energy consumption by type of non-renewable sources of energy) is informed by the Portfolio Manager's belief that biotechnology companies may generate improvement of their carbon footprint by considering the scope of their use of non-renewable energy in office buildings, research centres and production facilities where applicable. By requesting this datapoint from the portfolio companies, the Fund aims to increase awareness and support improvement.

Mapping the PAIs to the SASB material risks for the biotechnology sector results in the following prioritization of principal adverse impact factors:

- Very important
 - Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.
 - Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.
 - Unadjusted gender pay gap.
 - Board gender diversity.
 - Insufficient whistleblower protection
- Important
 - GHG emissions
 - Carbon footprint
 - GHG intensity of investee companies
 - Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production
 - Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector
 - Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas
 - Emissions to water
 - Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio
 - Breakdown of energy consumption by type of non-renewable sources of energy
- Not applicable
 - Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector
 - Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons, and biological weapons)

The two PAIs that are deemed not applicable are due to the Fund's investment strategy which limits the investable universe to biopharmaceutical companies and diagnostics and/or medical device companies only, thereby excluding activities in the two sectors above.

While the selected voluntary PAIs always remain applicable, investee companies that fall under a different SASB sector may have a different prioritization of PAIs. This is determined on a case-by-case basis.

If the Portfolio Manager's analysis concludes that an investment is at risk of doing significant harm on more than 1 of the very important indicators or on 5 or more of the important indicators, then the investment cannot be classified as sustainable. If data about a company's commitments, processes, or policies on a PAI is not directly available from the company, industry databases or public news sources, the company is assumed to be at risk of doing significant harm on that PAI. Currently the Portfolio Manager does not consider third-party data in their analysis.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

Average weight of the 15 largest Fund positions over 2025 taken as a percentage of the invested capital of the Fund which excludes any cash or other operational portfolio management assets.


Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
<i>IONIS PHARMACEUTICALS</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>11.11%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>ARROWHEAD</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>9.51%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>UNIQUE NV</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>7.91%</i>	<i>Netherlands</i>
<i>CRISPR THERAPEUTICS AG</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>6.98%</i>	<i>Switzerland</i>
<i>ULTRAGENYX</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>6.89%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>KRYSTAL BIOTECH INC</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>5.58%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>BIONTECH SE-ADR (USD)</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>5.32%</i>	<i>Germany</i>
<i>EVOTEC SE</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>5.27%</i>	<i>Germany</i>
<i>PROQR THERAPEUTICS NV</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>5.18%</i>	<i>Netherlands</i>
<i>BEAM THERAPEUTICS INC</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>4.37%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>WAVE LIFE SCIENCES LTD</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>4.35%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>STOKE THERAPEUTICS INC</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>3.85%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>MODERNA INC</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>3.84%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>MEIRAGTX HOLDINGS PLC</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>3.51%</i>	<i>United States</i>
<i>LEXEO PHARMACEUTICALS</i>	<i>Health Care</i>	<i>3.09%</i>	<i>United States</i>

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 1 January 2025 – 31 December 2025



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

● What was the asset allocation?



● In which economic sectors were the investments made?

In 2025, the Fund invested in publicly traded shares of companies active in the health care sector. It invested in highly innovative companies that develop and market new medical treatments and therapies.

The Fund had a focused portfolio, investing in 24 companies on average in 2025. Most of its investments were made in companies located in Europe and Northern America given the innovation power in biotech in these markets.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Fund did not target alignment with the EU Taxonomy.

● Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹²?


- Yes:
- In fossil gas
 - In nuclear energy
- No

¹² Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change (“climate change mitigation”) and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

None of the Funds investments were made in transitional or enabling activities

● **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Not applicable



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

100% of the Fund's investments were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Of the Fund's total holdings per 31 December 2025, 35% was invested in socially sustainable investments (based on the Net Asset Value of the Fund).



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The 7% of the Fund's total holdings per 31 December 2025 listed under "#2 Other" consists of the Fund's cash holdings and assets that do not align with the Fund's social characteristics.

The non-aligned assets are counted as follows:

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

1) Investee companies that research, develop, or produce treatment/solutions for diseases with a high unmet medical need for less than 50% of their revenue or research pipeline.

2) The remaining percentage of treatment/solutions of companies that align with the Fund's social characteristics for more than 50% but less than 100%. These companies are still very innovative in the biotechnology space and are selected for the part of their portfolio that does align with the Fund's social characteristics or because they develop products or activities that enable other companies in the sector to do so.

3) Any cash or other operational portfolio management assets

Analysis on non-aligned assets is the same as on aligned assets and if there is reason to engage, this will be done in a similar way. All non-aligned assets passed the good governance screening which considers the minimum safeguards that the Fund requires for investment.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The Fund actively selects companies that meet its social characteristics. This requires extensive analysis of the company's revenue and treatment pipeline to ensure only treatments for diseases with a high unmet medical need are counted for their contribution. The prioritization of the principal adverse impact factors directly informs the Fund's focus points for engagement. Depending on how far along the company is in its progress, engagement will first focus on disclosure and reporting and subsequently on improvement of outstanding issues.

The fund engaged with all portfolio investments in 2025. During these discussions, ESG risks were addressed while the fund had engaged with eight companies solely on ESG topics. The extent of these discussions depended on whether the company was already reporting on sustainability and on the stage of development the company was in. Early-stage companies are often not yet sufficiently developed to report on sustainability. Most companies have been able to answer our questions. The engagement on ESG topics is leading more and more companies to keep them in consideration while conducting their business.

While the Fund realizes that it has limited impact on large companies, its impact on smaller companies is noticeable. For some of the portfolio companies, the Fund's inquiries regarding ESG topics triggered internal reviews, something that will contribute to the increased awareness and action on ESG within the industry.

No company disclosures have forced a reconsideration of the investment this year. If a company were to disclose such information, multiple steps would be taken before divestment is considered: (i) direct contact with company to flag the issues and discuss their intentions on resolving them (ii) continue discussions and make clear that continued breach of the Fund's ESG principles could lead to position divestment (iii) making use of the Fund's ownership % to vote relevant resolutions during shareholder meetings (iv) position divestment (after 3 years of breach and no effort undertaken to solution the issue).

Even those companies that do not yet publish an ESG report still provide guidance on when they plan to start doing so.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

The Fund has not identified a reference benchmark to measure the attainment of its social investment objective against.

- ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

Not applicable

- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index***

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.